

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	09/461,900 12/15/1999		ERIC NACE	MCS-116-99	4419		
	27662	7590 03/01/2004		EXAMINER			
		LYON & HARR, LLP			THOMSON, WILLIAM D		
	300 ESPLANA	ADE DRIVE, SUITE 80	0				
OXNARD, CA 93036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2123	0		
				DATE MAILED: 03/01/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
- · · · - · ·		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
	_	09/461,900	l l	NACE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		William D. 1	homson	2123				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no even eply within the statut od will apply and will ute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	December 20	<u>03</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	n-final.	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from con						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	•						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been ents have been riority documer eau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicatints have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	ıt(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 cer No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/461,900

Art Unit: 2123

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-40 have been presented for examination.
- Claims 1-40 have been rejected. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL AND NO CLAIMS ARE ALLOWED.

#### **Drawings**

3. The Objection to figures 1 and 2 are not withdrawn and stand. Applicant is respectfully reminded that the figures are to illustrate the claimed invention not which is known in the prior art. These figures do not illustrate the Applicant's invention as recited in claims 1-40. As for the fact that other applications have been issued not denoting the same drawing as shown in Figure 1 as prior art does not remove this objection since each case is examined on its own merit, separate from other examined cases. The mere fact that Applicant has stated that this figure is common to hundreds of issued patents provides ample support for Examiner upholding this objection. As for Figure 2, it merely show the well taught and depicted use of recording and replaying client transactions on and to a server. Again this is well established in the prior art. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is See M.P.E.P. § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or illustrated. corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2123

7 .

### Response to Amendment and Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 10, 2003 have been fully considered but since amendments have been provided that clearly change the scope of the claimed invention with arguments with respect to claims 1-40; the arguments are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection that follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Landan (739), Marullo et al. (398), 6,167,534 (Straathof et al.), Abbott et al. (463), Mongan et al. (982), Rowe (492), Dantressangle (120), Bromberg et al. (066), Sherman et al. (512), individually, in which they individually teach the limitations as recited in claims 1-40. Further claims 1-40 are

Application/Control Number: 09/461,900

Art Unit: 2123

rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being clearly anticipated by Chen et al. (932)

since Chen et al. explicitly teaches the limitations in claims 1-40. As is clearly

shown and delineated in each individual teaching, an equivalent filtering

mechanism is provided in the server and the log is different and not the standard

server log. The individual teachings of this separate log is to provide monitory

and even logs for determining performance when using virtual or emulated client

operations to test an application or web server.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record, P.T.O. 892 and not relied upon is considered

pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Careful consideration of this art is required

prior to providing a response, including amendments or argument, to the instance

Office Action.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection

presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as

set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Application/Control Tember: 09/461,900

Art Unit: 2123

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to William D. Thomson whose telephone

number is 703-305-0022. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-3:30

Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on 703-305-9704. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

William Thomson

Primary Examiner

A.U. 2123